

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF FOXTON PARISH COUNCIL

HELD ON MONDAY, 10th JULY 2006, AT 9.15 p.m.

PRESENT

Mr Pusey, Mr Hockley, Mr Barnes, Mr Brooksbank,
Mr Chilton, Dr Grindley, Mr Kennedy, Dr McKeown,
Miss Thake

IN ATTENDANCE

Mr Neale, Planning Consultant
1 member of the public

APOLOGIES

No apologies were received

Mr Pusey welcomed councillors to the special meeting

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

Agreed that any declaration of interest would be made during the course of the meeting.

At this point Mr Neale was asked temporarily to leave the room.

APPOINTMENT OF PLANNING CONSULTANT

Mr Pusey proposed that the council appoint Mr Neale to formulate the council's response to the issues of the objection to the classification of Foxton as a group village and to the representations to SCDC regarding two objection sites in the vicinity of Foxton. Mr Brooksbank seconded the proposal, which was carried with eight votes for, and one vote against the proposal.

Mr Neale was asked to return to the meeting.

Mr Pusey thanked Mr Neale for coming to the earlier public meeting and confirmed that the parish council wished him to make the response to the issues mentioned above on its behalf.

Mr Pusey said he had already set up a traffic survey and Dr Grindley said the rail issue was more about the impact on the A10 and the level crossing. The clerk agreed to arrange for details of the level crossing meetings to be sent to Mr Neale. Mr Brooksbank kindly offered that, if the clerk passed the Minutes of the level crossing meetings to him, he would scan the notes and e-mail them to Mr Neale.

Mr Kennedy asked what deadline the council would set for preparing the response. It was agreed that the council would meet with Mr Neale at 8 pm on Monday, 24th July. Mr Kennedy and Mr Brooksbank said they would not be able to attend.

PAYMENT APPROVAL

The council photocopier needed a new drum (capable of 18,000 copies). The clerk had not been able to ascertain the cost, but as the photocopier was needed for the current production of response forms, Mr Pusey proposed that the clerk should go ahead with getting it replaced by Anglia Office Systems. Mr Hockley seconded the proposal and all were agreed.

PLANNING

Mr Barnes reported as follows:

Planning permission granted:

Mr and Mrs Clark	Application No. S/0503/06/F for extension to dwelling and addition of pitched roof to garage. Usual condition
------------------	--

Planning permission refused:

Mr and Mrs J Gray	Application No. S/0896/06/F for an extension and carport at 1, High Street Refused on grounds of historic interest of barn, impact on adjacent listed cottage and detracting from appearance of the cottage and the conservation area.
-------------------	---

Planning application considered at the meeting:

Mr and Mrs Winterbotham	Application No. S/1253/06/F for extensions at 53 Station Road No recommendation
-------------------------	--

Mr Bore (in the visitors' seats) thanked Mr Barnes for picking up the representations about the objection site from the multiple documents sent by the District Council.

CORRESPONDENCE

The clerk reported on correspondence received since the last meeting as follows:

- 1) Letter dated 3rd July from SCDC advising that Mr Dave Kelleway, chairman of Teversham Parish Council, has been elected to fill the Parish member vacancy on SCDC Standards Committee.
- 2) Letter dated 5th July from SCDC Development Services Department requesting comments on its revised village facilities information leaflet (replies by 14th August). *Passed to BH/DP*
- 3) Letter dated 6th July from the Market Research Group re Cambridgeshire Archaeology – non-user survey JIGSAW – “piecing together Cambridgeshire’s past (replies by 28th August). *Passed to DP*
- 4) Notice of Cambridgeshire ACRE’s AGM to be held on 5th September 2006 at 5.30pm, together with booking form.

FOXTON COMMUNITY BUILDING

Mr Kennedy reported that a window in the sports pavilion with missing beading had been put in the wrong way. The quotation for a replacement window, which was on its way, would be for an amount of about £300. Dr Grindley said that as this was a latent defect, putting it right was still the responsibility of the contractor.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Dr McKeown said that it appeared the council had found out about the objection sites fortuitously. Mr Barnes said the District Council had sent documents.

Dr McKeown asked whether SCDC had a mechanism for alerting councils to this kind of thing. Dr Grindley said there was no requirement on SCDC to let councils know there are objections that affect the village. Mr Bore (in the visitors' seats) suggested that the council monitor information on the Local Development Framework on an annual basis.

Mr Pusey said the council would be well advised to check the web site from time to time and Dr Grindley offered to do this as he and Mr Barnes had done the original responses.

Mr Brooksbank said the weakness with the SCDC web site was that a code number was needed.

It was agreed that a separate letter composed by Mr Neale should be sent to SCDC in support of its classification of Foxton as a group village.

Mr Barnes asked whether the council wished to respond to SCDC regarding the objection site at Fowlmere. It was agreed that there should be a response, and the clerk was asked to complete a response form saying that development on this site would impact adversely on Foxton's infrastructure, particularly the drainage and sewage systems.

DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

It was confirmed that this would be held on **Monday, 4th September 2006 at 7.45pm** in the **Meeting room** of the Village Hall.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 9.45pm.